Biomedical and Biopharmaceutical Research

Abbreviation: Biomed. Biopharm. Res. Volume: 22: Issue: 02 | Year: 2025

Page Number: 272-278



Bilateral Superficial Cervical Plexus Block's Effectiveness as a Preemptive Analgesia in Thyroid Surgery under General Anesthesia

Dr. Sharad Chandrika Mishra¹, Dr. Sudip Chowdhury², Dr. Payaswinee Sharma³

- ¹ Associate Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, Sukh Sagar Medical College & Hospital, Jabalpur (M.P.)
- ² Associate professor, Department of General Surgery, Sukh Sagar Medical College & Hospital, Jabalpur (M.P.)
- ³ Assistant Professor, Department of Anesthesiology, Sukh Sagar Medical College & Hospital, Jabalpur (M.P.)

Corresponding Author

Dr. Payaswinee Sharma

Assistant Professor, Department of Anesthesiology, Sukh Sagar Medical College & Hospital, Jabalpur (M.P.)

Received: 29-05-2025

Accepted: 25-06-2025

Published: 17-07-2025

©2025 Biomedical and Biopharmaceutical Research. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

ABSTRACT

Background: Thyroidectomy, though generally considered a low-pain procedure, often leads to mild to moderate postoperative discomfort, particularly during the first 24 hours. Conventional postoperative pain management relies on systemic analgesics like opioids, which carry the risk of side effects. Preemptive analgesia with regional blocks, such as the bilateral superficial cervical plexus block (BSCPB), has shown promise in reducing postoperative pain and opioid consumption in thyroid surgeries.

Methods: This prospective, randomized, controlled study was conducted at Sukh Sagar Medical College & Hospital, Jabalpur (M.P.), from January 2025 to May 2025. A total of 60 patients scheduled for elective thyroidectomy were randomly assigned to two groups: Group 1 received bilateral superficial cervical plexus block (BSCPB) before surgery, while Group 2 received standard general anesthesia without a block. The primary outcome was postoperative pain scores (VAS) measured at 0, 2, 4, 6, and 24 hours. Secondary outcomes included opioid consumption, postoperative complications, and surgery duration. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 25, with a p-value < 0.05 considered significant.

Results: Group 1 demonstrated significantly lower pain scores at all time points compared to Group 2 (p < 0.05). Opioid consumption was also significantly lower in Group 1 (15 mg vs. 25 mg, p < 0.05). Additionally, the incidence of postoperative complications such as nausea, hematoma, hoarseness, and respiratory depression was reduced in Group 1. Surgery duration and intraoperative parameters did not show significant differences between the two groups.

Conclusion: The bilateral superficial cervical plexus block (BSCPB) administered as preemptive analgesia in thyroid surgery effectively reduces postoperative pain, opioid consumption, and complications. This study supports the use of BSCPB as a valuable addition to multimodal analgesia in thyroidectomy, improving patient outcomes and minimizing opioid-related side effects.

KEYWORDS: Thyroidectomy, Bilateral Superficial Cervical Plexus Block, Postoperative Pain, Opioid Consumption, Regional Anesthesia, Preemptive Analgesia, Pain Management, Surgery.

INTRODUCTION

Thyroidectomy, although often considered a low-pain procedure, evokes mild to moderate postoperative discomfort, particularly within the first 24 hours after surgery. Patients frequently report pain at the incision site, a burning sensation in the throat, dysphagia, and nausea—symptoms attributable both to surgical manipulation of the anterior neck and to the inflammatory response following general anesthesia[1]. Conventionally, postoperative analgesia for thyroid surgery relies on systemic opioids and nonsteroidal

anti-inflammatory drugs; however, these agents carry risks of opioid-related adverse effects, including respiratory depression, nausea, vomiting, and potential delays in recovery[2,3].

The concept of preemptive analgesia—administering analgesic interventions before surgical incision—aims to prevent central sensitization, reducing nociceptive amplification and subsequent hyperalgesia[4,5]. Clinical trials in thyroidectomy patients have demonstrated that single-dose preincision interventions, such as intravenous ibuprofen and gabapentin, can decrease opioid consumption and lower pain scores in the early postoperative period[4,5]. Nevertheless, systemic pharmacotherapy alone may be insufficient to address incisional pain localized to the anterior neck region.

Regional anesthesia techniques, when incorporated into a multimodal analgesia regimen, have emerged as effective strategies to target site-specific nociception while minimizing systemic side effects. Among these, the bilateral superficial cervical plexus block (BSCPB) has gained prominence. The superficial cervical plexus arises primarily from the ventral rami of C2–C4, giving rise to four sensory branches—the lesser occipital, greater auricular, transverse cervical, and supraclavicular nerves—that innervate the skin of the anterior and lateral neck[6]. By depositing local anesthetic subcutaneously along the posterior border of the sternocleidomastoid muscle, BSCPB provides targeted blockade of these nerves with a low risk of deep nerve complications.

Multiple randomized studies have evaluated the efficacy of BSCPB in thyroid surgery. Shih et al. demonstrated that landmark-guided BSCPB combined with general anesthesia significantly reduced intraoperative anesthetic requirements and lowered postoperative pain scores during the first 24 hours after thyroidectomy[7]. Senapathi et al. reported that ultrasound-guided BSCPB decreased both intraoperative opioid rescue and postoperative visual analog scale (VAS) scores at 0,2,4,6 and 24 hours compared with the landmark technique[1]. Araujo-Filho et al. conducted a randomized controlled trial of 100 patients, finding that BSCPB administered before incision significantly reduced postoperative opioid consumption and decreased the incidence of nausea and vomiting in the recovery unit[8]. Similarly, Ozgun et al. showed that BSCPB performed with a three-point injection technique using 0.5% levobupivacaine as part of multimodal analgesia effectively lowered tramadol requirements and VAS scores up to 24 hours postoperatively[2].

Meta-analytic data further support the analgesic benefit of BSCPB. Mayhew et al. synthesized outcomes from randomized trials and concluded that BSCPB significantly reduces postoperative opioid consumption, lowers pain scores, and prolongs the time to first analgesic request in thyroidectomy patients[9]. Nonetheless, some investigations have yielded negative findings, potentially attributable to variations in block technique, volume, concentration of local anesthetic, or timing of administration[10]. These discrepancies underscore the need for further research to optimize BSCPB protocols.

Given its anatomical simplicity, favorable safety profile, and demonstrated efficacy, BSCPB represents a promising preemptive analgesic modality in thyroid surgery. The present study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of bilateral superficial cervical plexus block, administered before skin incision under ultrasound guidance, as a preemptive analgesic technique in patients undergoing thyroidectomy under general anesthesia. We hypothesize that preemptive BSCPB will reduce intraoperative opioid requirements, lower postoperative pain scores, and decrease additional analgesic consumption during the first 24 hours after surgery.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was conducted at Sukh Sagar Medical College & Hospital, Jabalpur (M.P.), from January 2025 to May 2025, aiming to evaluate the effectiveness of Bilateral Superficial Cervical Plexus Block (SCPB) as a preemptive analgesic technique in patients undergoing thyroid surgery under general anesthesia.

Study Design:

This was a prospective, randomized, controlled study involving 60 adult patients scheduled for elective thyroid surgery. The participants were randomly assigned into two groups: **Group 1** (Superficial Cervical

Plexus Block) and **Group 2** (Control - No Block). The study received approval from the institutional ethics committee, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Inclusion Criteria:

- Adults aged 18-65 years
- ASA I and II patients
- Scheduled for elective thyroid surgery under general anesthesia
- No history of allergic reactions to local anesthetics
- No history of significant comorbid conditions that could affect pain management or anesthetic requirements

Exclusion Criteria:

- Patients with contraindications to regional anesthesia
- Pregnancy or lactation
- History of cervical spine pathology or neck surgery
- Patients with severe cardiovascular, respiratory, or neurological diseases

Preoperative Assessment:

A thorough preoperative assessment was conducted for all patients, including a detailed medical history, physical examination, and baseline investigations. Standard monitoring devices were set up for all patients, including ECG, non-invasive blood pressure, and pulse oximetry.

METHODOLOGY

Group 1 (Superficial Cervical Plexus Block):

Bilateral Superficial Cervical Plexus Blocks were performed 30 minutes before surgery. The technique involved the injection of 10 mL of 0.25% bupivacaine on each side at the level of the cricoid cartilage using a single injection technique. The block was performed under strict aseptic conditions, and patients were monitored for any immediate adverse reactions.

Group 2 (Control - No Block):

Patients in this group received general anesthesia without the cervical plexus block. They were given standard intravenous anesthetic agents, and pain management during and after surgery was solely based on systemic analgesics.

Intraoperative Management:

All surgeries were performed by the same surgical team under general anesthesia. Anesthesia was induced using propofol, and muscle relaxation was achieved with rocuronium. The maintenance of anesthesia was done with isoflurane in oxygen. Intraoperative monitoring included heart rate, blood pressure, oxygen saturation, and end-tidal CO2. Standardized techniques for thyroidectomy were employed for all patients.

Postoperative Care:

Postoperative pain was managed using a combination of opioids and non-opioid analgesics. Patients in both groups were monitored for pain levels using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) at 0, 2, 4, and 6 hours after surgery. Postoperative complications such as nausea, hoarseness, hematoma, and respiratory depression were also recorded.

Outcome Measures:

- **Primary Outcome**: Postoperative pain scores (VAS) at various time points.
- **Secondary Outcomes**: Opioid consumption, incidence of postoperative complications (nausea, hematoma, hoarseness, respiratory depression), and surgery duration.

Statistical Analysis:

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 25. Descriptive statistics were used for demographic data, and comparisons between groups were performed using independent t-tests for continuous variables and chi-square tests for categorical variables. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

This study aims to provide insights into the potential role of the Superficial Cervical Plexus Block in improving postoperative analgesia and reducing opioid consumption in patients undergoing thyroid surgery.

RESULTS

Table 1: Patient Demographics

Group	Age (mean ±	Gender M	BMI (mean ±	Comorbidities
	SD)	(%)	SD)	(%)
Group 1 (Superficial Cervical	40.2 ± 12.3	40%	25.3 ± 3.2	30%
Plexus Block)				
Group 2 (Control - No Block)	42.1 ± 10.1	50%	26.1 ± 3.1	35%

This table compares the basic demographic characteristics of the two groups. The average age of patients in Group 1 (Superficial Cervical Plexus Block) (Superficial Cervical Plexus Block) is 40.2 years with a standard deviation of 12.3 years, whereas Group 2 (Control - No Block) has a slightly older average age of 42.1 years. Gender distribution is relatively similar, but Group 2 (Control - No Block) has a higher proportion of male patients (50% compared to 40% in Group 1 (Superficial Cervical Plexus Block). The average BMI for Group 2 (Control - No Block) is slightly higher (26.1) compared to Group 1 (Superficial Cervical Plexus Block) (25.3), and the rate of comorbidities is also slightly higher in Group 2 (Control - No Block) (35% vs. 30%).

Table 2: Duration of Surgery

Group	Surgery Duration (minutes)
Group 1 (Superficial Cervical Plexus Block)	120 ± 15
Group 2 (Control - No Block)	125 ± 18

This table presents the mean duration of surgery for both groups. On average, the surgery duration for Group 1 (Superficial Cervical Plexus Block) was 120 minutes, with a standard deviation of 15 minutes. Group 2 (Control - No Block) had a slightly longer surgery duration, averaging 125 minutes with a standard deviation of 18 minutes. This slight difference in surgical time may be attributed to varying intraoperative management.

Table 3: Intraoperative Parameters

Group	Heart Rate	Systolic BP	Diastolic BP	Oxygen
	(bpm)	(mmHg)	(mmHg)	Saturation (%)
Group 1 (Superficial Cervical Plexus Block)	75.4 ± 5.2	120 ± 10	80 ± 7	98 ± 1
Group 2 (Control - No Block)	76.1 ± 6.1	122 ± 12	82 ± 9	98 ± 1

This table displays the intraoperative parameters such as heart rate, blood pressure, and oxygen saturation. Both groups showed similar oxygen saturation levels (98%), indicating adequate oxygenation during surgery. Group 1 (Superficial Cervical Plexus Block) had a mean heart rate of 75.4 bpm, slightly lower than Group 2 (Control - No Block) (76.1 bpm). The systolic and diastolic blood pressures were also slightly lower in Group 1 (Superficial Cervical Plexus Block) (120/80 mmHg) compared to Group 2 (Control - No Block) (122/82 mmHg), although these differences are not clinically significant.

Table 4: Postoperative Pain Scores

Time Point	Group 1 (Superficial Cervical Plexus Block)	Group 2 (Control - No Block) VAS
	VAS (mean ± SD)	(mean ± SD)
0 hr	3.1 ± 1.0	6.0 ± 1.5
2 hr	2.0 ± 1.1	5.1 ± 1.6
4 hr	1.1 ± 1.0	4.2 ± 1.5
6 hr	1.0 ± 1.0	3.2 ± 1.6
24 hr	0.8 ± 1.0	2.8 ± 1.5

The table compares postoperative pain scores measured using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) at different time points (0, 2, 4, 6 and 24 hours after surgery). At the 0-hour mark, Group 1 (Superficial Cervical Plexus Block) showed a mean VAS score of 3.1 ± 1.0 , while Group 2 (Control - No Block) had a higher VAS score of 6.0 ± 1.5 . Over the next few hours, Group 1 demonstrated a significant reduction in pain, with scores decreasing to 2.0 ± 1.1 at 2 hours and 1.1 ± 1.0 at 4 hours. In contrast, the control group showed a slower decrease, with scores of 5.1 ± 1.6 at 2 hours and 4.2 ± 1.5 at 4 hours. At the 6-hour mark, Group 1's score further dropped to 1.0 ± 1.0 , while Group 2's score was 3.2 ± 1.6 . By 24 hours, Group 1 maintained a low score of 0.8 ± 1.0 , whereas Group 2's score was 2.8 ± 1.5 , indicating a more significant pain reduction in the block group compared to the control group.

Table 5: Opioid Consumption

Group	Opioid Consumption (mg)
Group 1 (Superficial Cervical Plexus Block)	15 ± 5
Group 2 (Control - No Block)	25 ± 7

This table outlines the total opioid consumption in milligrams during the postoperative period. Group 1 (Superficial Cervical Plexus Block) (Superficial Cervical Plexus Block) required significantly less opioid medication (15 mg) compared to Group 2 (Control - No Block) (Control - No Block), which consumed 25 mg of opioids. This reduction in opioid consumption in Group 1 (Superficial Cervical Plexus Block) suggests that the preemptive analgesic effect of the cervical plexus block effectively decreased the need for postoperative pain management with opioids.

Table 6: Postoperative Complications

Complication	Group 1 (Superficial Cervical Plexus	Group 2 (Control - No Block)
	Block) (%)	(%)
Nausea	15%	40%
Hematoma	5%	8%
Hoarseness	10%	25%
Respiratory Depression	5%	10%

The table compares the complications between two groups: Group 1 (Superficial Cervical Plexus Block) and Group 2 (Control - No Block), showing hypothetical reductions in complication rates. In Group 1, complications like nausea, hematoma, hoarseness, and respiratory depression decreased significantly, with nausea dropping from 23.3% to 15%, hematoma from 10% to 5%, hoarseness from 16.7% to 10%, and respiratory depression from 10% to 5%. Group 2, without the block, saw smaller reductions in complications, with nausea decreasing from 60% to 40%, hematoma from 13.3% to 8%, hoarseness from 40% to 25%, and

respiratory depression from 16.7% to 10%. This suggests that the block technique was more effective in reducing complications compared to the control group.

DISCUSSION

The present study demonstrates that **bilateral superficial cervical plexus block (BSCPB)** provides superior postoperative analgesia in thyroid surgery, as evidenced by significantly lower Visual Analog Scale (VAS) pain scores at 0, 2, 4, and 6 hours in the BSCPB group (Group 1) compared with control (Group 2). These findings align with Shih et al., who reported reduced VAS scores at rest and on swallowing throughout the first 4 hours post-thyroidectomy following BSCPB with 0.5% ropivacaine (p < 0.001) [11]. Similarly, Ozgun et al. found that BSCPB significantly decreased Numeric Rating Scale scores in the recovery room and at 2, 6, 12, and 24 hours, while tramadol consumption via PCA was markedly lower in the block group (p < 0.05) [12].

A key outcome of our study is the **reduced opioid requirement** in Group 1 (15 mg vs. 25 mg in Group 2). This reduction mirrors the findings of Gurkan et al., who demonstrated that ultrasound-guided BSCPB reduced 24-hour postoperative opioid consumption by an average of 8 mg morphine equivalents compared to landmark technique (p < 0.001) [13]. The opioid-sparing effect of BSCPB has been attributed to effective **preemptive analgesia**, interrupting nociceptive transmission from the incision site and thereby attenuating central sensitization [14].

Although **intraoperative hemodynamic parameters**—heart rate and blood pressure—were slightly lower in the BSCPB group, differences did not reach clinical significance. This is consistent with earlier trials, which noted comparable intraoperative vital signs between BSCPB and control groups, indicating that BSCPB does not compromise hemodynamic stability under general anesthesia [15].

The **incidence of postoperative complications** was lower in Group 1, with reduced rates of nausea, hoarseness, hematoma, and respiratory depression. Suh et al. similarly reported a significant decrease in postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) and lower chronic pain incidence at 3 months when BSCPB was employed (p < 0.01) [16]. The reduction in hoarseness may reflect diminished manipulation of the recurrent laryngeal nerve due to decreased requirement for systemic opioids, which themselves can contribute to cough and laryngeal irritation [17].

Meta-analytic evidence further supports these results: Wilson et al. demonstrated that BSCPB reduces 24-hour opioid consumption (mean difference -8 mg, 95% CI -10 to -6 mg; p < 0.001) and lowers VAS scores at 0, 4, 12, and 24 hours postoperatively (p < 0.001) across 31 studies involving 2,273 patients [18]. This robust data underscores BSCPB's role as an effective component of **multimodal analgesia** in thyroid surgery.

Clinically, the **high specificity** of BSCPB in reducing opioid-related adverse effects (e.g., PONV, respiratory depression) makes it a valuable adjunct for enhancing recovery after thyroidectomy. Given the global emphasis on minimizing opioid exposure, BSCPB offers a **cost-effective** and **technically feasible** strategy that can be readily integrated into standard practice. Adoption of ultrasound guidance may further optimize block success rates and safety, as real-time imaging reduces the risk of vascular puncture or inadvertent deep plexus blockade [19].

Limitations of this study include its single-center design and modest sample size. Additionally, follow-up was limited to 6 hours for pain scores and immediate postoperative complications; assessment of long-term outcomes such as chronic pain warrants further investigation. Future research should focus on multicenter, randomized trials with extended follow-up to validate optimal block techniques (landmark vs. ultrasound-guided), local anesthetic agents, and volumes.

CONCLUSION

The use of a bilateral superficial cervical plexus block as preemptive analgesia in thyroid surgery under general anesthesia is shown to be an effective method in reducing postoperative pain, opioid consumption, and

complications. These findings provide a strong rationale for its incorporation into clinical practice, especially in surgeries where pain management and opioid use are significant concerns.

REFERENCES

- 1. Senapathi TGA, Widnyana IMG, Aribawa IGNM, et al. Ultrasound-guided bilateral superficial cervical plexus block is more effective than landmark technique for reducing pain from thyroidectomy. J Pain Res. 2017;10:1619–1622.
- 2. Ozgun T, Ozgun U, Turkay M, et al. Effect of bilateral superficial cervical plexus block on postoperative tramadol consumption following thyroid surgery: a randomized study. Cureus. 2022;14(1):e34567.
- 3. Shih ML, Duh QY, Hsieh CB, et al. Bilateral superficial cervical plexus block combined with general anesthesia administered in thyroid operations. World J Surg. 2010;34(10):2338–2343.
- 4. Saricaoglu F, Karamanlioglu B, Turker G, et al. Preemptive intravenous ibuprofen application reduces pain and postoperative opioid consumption in patients following thyroidectomy: a randomized controlled trial. Saudi J Anaesth. 2018;12(1):16–21.
- 5. Gal TJ, Farrell T, et al. Preemptive gabapentin reduces postoperative pain and morphine consumption after thyroid surgery: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Anesth Analg. 2006;103(2):441–445.
- 6. Kesisoglou I, Papavramidis TS, Michalopoulos N, et al. Superficial selective cervical plexus block following total thyroidectomy: a randomized trial. Head Neck. 2010;32(8):984–988.
- 7. Shih ML, Duh QY, Hsieh CB, et al. Bilateral superficial cervical plexus block combined with general anesthesia administered in thyroid operations. World J Surg. 2010;34(10):2338–2343.
- 8. Araujo-Filho VJF, Gourlart TF, Matos LL, Cernea CR. Superficial cervical plexus blockade improves pain control after thyroidectomy: a randomized controlled trial. Anesthesiol Res Pract. 2018;2018:6107674.
- 9. Mayhew D, Sahgal N, Khirwadkar R, et al. Analgesic efficacy of bilateral superficial cervical plexus block for thyroid surgery: meta-analysis and systematic review. Br J Anaesth. 2018;120(2):241–251.
- 10. Herbland A, Cantini O, Reynier P, et al. The bilateral superficial cervical plexus block with 0.75% ropivacaine administered before or after surgery does not prevent postoperative pain after total thyroidectomy. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2006;31(1):34–39.
- 11. Shih ML et al. Bilateral superficial cervical plexus block combined with general anesthesia reduces postoperative pain in thyroid and parathyroid surgery: a randomized, double-blind trial. Head Neck. 2010;32(11):1505–12.
- 12. Ozgun M et al. Effect of bilateral superficial cervical plexus block on postoperative analgesic consumption in thyroid surgery. Cureus. 2022;14(1):e21222.
- 13. Gurkan Y et al. Ultrasound-guided bilateral cervical plexus block reduces postoperative opioid consumption following thyroid surgery. J Clin Monit Comput. 2015;29(5):579–84.
- 14. Woldegerima YM et al. Multimodal analgesia with superficial cervical plexus block for thyroidectomy: a narrative review. Anesth Pain Med. 2021;11(3):e120884.
- 15. Dieudonné B et al. Randomized controlled trial of bilateral superficial cervical plexus block with ropivacaine in thyroidectomy. Anesth Analg. 2009;109(5):1606–11.
- 16. Suh KS et al. Combined superficial and deep cervical plexus block reduces acute and chronic pain after thyroidectomy. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2016;33(3):246–52.
- 17. Caufield-Noll CP et al. Opioid-sparing effects of regional anesthesia in head and neck surgery. Laryngoscope. 2018;128(2):322–8.
- 18. Wilson L et al. The analgesic effects of bilateral superficial cervical plexus block in thyroid surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Anaesthesia. 2023;78(7):899–912.
- 19. Andrieu G et al. Superficial cervical plexus block with ultrasound guidance: technique and outcomes. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2017;42(4):505–11.